UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,281	09/13/2005	Kirsten Hofmann	P05,0307	6250	
	26574 7590 03/18/2009 SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP			EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT			ROZANSKI, MICHAEL T		
6600 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			3768		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/549,281	HOFMANN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MICHAEL T. ROZANSKI	3768			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	- <sup>.</sup> action is non-final.				
<i>i</i> —	/ <del></del>				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice under Lx parte Quayle, 1930 C.D. 11, 400 C.C. 210.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 8-14 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 8-14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

It appears Applicant intends to have the Figure of the PCT documents submitted with the application on 9/13/05 to be the included with the application. However, a proper drawing submission has not been made, as a separate sheet has not been included. As such, there is no Figure included with the PG PUB version of the application. Applicant has also submitted a preliminary amendment whereby a "description of the drawing" section is subsequently included.

## Claim Objections

Claims 9-14 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 9, there is a parenthesis that should be deleted in line 2.

In claim 12, "prorogation" should be --propagation--.

In claims 9-14, a comma should be included after each claim number from which the claim depends.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 3768

Claims 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed "annular shape" or "annular recess" of the shock wave source or of the housing is not found to be adequately described in the specification. The Figure shows a side view of the apparatus, but does not show any other view showing that the source having an annular shape. Further, the only "annular" shape described in the specification is that of describing annular change (element 26).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 8, it is unclear whether a section of the housing is shaped in a particular way to function as lens or whether a separate lens is positioned within a housing (as appears to be the case with Oppelt - see rejection below). In the case of Oppelt, the lens is 'fitted' within the housing and, therefore, it is considered to be integrally molded as one piece with the lens. However, the Figure appears to show the lens as being a part of the housing.

In addition, claim 14 depends from canceled claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,281 Page 4

Art Unit: 3768

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oppelt (US5,279,282).

Oppelt discloses an acoustic wave generator having a shockwave source 2 with a radiation exiting face, an acoustic lens 14 deposed in a path of the ultrasonic shockwave for focusing, and a support housing (comprising elements 1 and 13) integrally molded as one piece with the lens with the source disposed in the housing (see Figure 1). A chamber 15 is molded into the support housing between the source 2 and the lens 14. A first channel 18 is molded into the support housing communicating the chamber 15 with an exterior of the support housing and being adapted to convey fluid from the exterior of the housing into the chamber. The apparatus has another channel 29 to convey fluid to coupling space 24, wherein fluid flows in a direction of propagation of the shockwaves (see port 28 located below coupling space 24).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL T. ROZANSKI whose telephone number is (571)272-1648. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eric F Winakur/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768

MR